



Sh Dinesh Sharma, S/o Sh Hemant Kumar,
R/o Near Ram Lila Ground,
Distt. Faridkot.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o SSP,
Faridkot.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o DIG,
Faridkot.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 3545 of 2021

PRESENT: None for the Appellant
Sh.Ramesh Kumar, ASI (Incharge RTI cell) for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant through an RTI application dated 02.07.2021 has sought information on 06 points copies of application filed by Smt.Satyabhama against Meenu Sharma and received from higher authority including enquiry reports from 01.12.2020 to 30.06.2021 – duty/relieving order from Jaito of Sh.Akalpreet Singh Head constable appointed as Munshi in PS Jaito in 2019-2020 alongwith his appointment letter & date of joining, date of retirement- details of properties as enumerated in the RTI application from the office of SSP Faridkot. The appellant was not provided the information after which the appellant filed first appeal before the first appellate authority on 07.07.2021 which took no decision on the appeal.

The case last came up for hearing on 15.02.2022 through video conferencing at DAC Faridkot. As per respondent, the information on point-1 has been supplied to the appellant and the remaining information being 3rd party information, it cannot be provided and the reply has been sent to the appellant vide letter dated 18.01.2022. The Commission received copy of the letter dated 18.01.2022 which was taken on record.

As per appellant, the PIO had provided information on point-1 only.

Having gone through the RTI application and hearing both the parties, following was concluded:

- | | | |
|-----------|---|---|
| - Point-1 | - | Provided |
| - Point-2 | - | To provide joining date, date of relieving and basic salary of the concerned employee |
| - Point-3 | - | To provide if available in the record. If not available, to give in writing. |
| - Point-4 | - | Arbitrary. Not to be provided |
| - Point-5 | - | As per respondent, no such rule available. The PIO is directed to give this in writing. |
| - Point-6 | - | Arbitrary. Rejected |

Appeal Case No. 3545 of 2021

Hearing dated 31.08.2022:

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Faridkot. The respondent present pleaded that in compliance with the previous order of the Commission, the complete information has been supplied to the appellant on 29.03.2022 and the appellant has shown his satisfaction with the provided information. The respondent has also sent a copy of the information through email to the Commission which has been taken on record.

The appellant is absent nor is represented.

Having gone through the RTI application and the copy of information that has been received from the PIO, the Commission finds that the information has been supplied to the best possible extent.

Since the information has been provided, no further course of action is required. The case is **disposed of and closed**.

Chandigarh
Dated: 31.08.2022

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner



Sh Satish Kumar,
Ward No-10, Near Nehru Park,
Jaito, Distt Faridkot.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o DSP, Jaito,
Distt Faridkot.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o SSP,
Faridkot.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 3671 of 2021

PRESENT: None for the Appellant
 Sh.Prem Lal, ASI for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant through an RTI application dated 17.06.2021 has sought information regarding copies of complaints filed by Harish Chander (Goyal Ayurvedic Store) from Jan. 2010 to June 2021 alongwith documents attached with the complaint – statement of both parties and enquiry report as enumerated in the RTI application from the office of DSP Jaito. The appellant was not provided the information after which the appellant filed first appeal before the first appellate authority on 20.07.2021 which took no decision on the appeal. After filing first appeal, the PIO sent reply to the appellant vide letter dated 06.08.2021 to which the appellant was not satisfied and filed 2nd appeal in the Commission.

The case last came up for hearing on 15.02.2022 through video conferencing at DAC Faridkot. As per appellant, the PIO had not supplied the information.

As per respondent, the information being 3rd party information, it cannot be provided and the reply was sent to the appellant vide letter dated 06.08.2021.

Having gone through the RTI application and hearing both the parties, the PIO was directed to reconsider and provide information concerning the complaints against the appellant and send a compliance report to the Commission.

Hearing dated 31.08.2022:

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Faridkot. The respondent present pleaded that the information (24 pages) has been supplied to the appellant through his representative (Sh.Ashok Kumar) on 18.04.2022 and an acknowledgement of Sh.Ashok Kumar having received the information has been sent to the Commission.

The appellant is absent nor is represented.

Since the information has been provided, no further course of action is required. The case is **disposed of and closed**.

Chandigarh
Dated: 31.08.2022

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner